arXiv:math/0501561v2 [math.DG] 30 Aug 2006

Metric Compatible Covariant Derivatives

W. A. Rodrigues Jr.[1], V. V. Fernández[1] and A. M. Moya[2]
[1]Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation
IMECC-UNICAMP CP 6065
13083-859 Campinas, SP, Brazil
e-mail:walrod@ime.unicamp.br
[2]Department of Mathematics, University of Antofagasta, Antofagasta, Chile
e-mail: mmoya@uantof.cl

February 1, 2008

Abstract

This paper, sixth in a series of eight, uses the geometric calculus on manifolds developed in the previous papers of the series to introduce through the concept of a metric extensor field g a metric structure for a smooth manifold M. The associated metric compatible connection extensor field, the associated Christoffel operators and a notable decomposition of those objects are given The paper introduces also the concept of a geometrical structure for a manifold M as a triple (M, g, γ), where γ is a connection extensor field defining a parallelism structure for M. Next, the theory of metric compatible covariant derivatives is given and a relationship between the connection extensor fields and covariant derivatives of two deformed (metric compatible) geometrical structures (M, g, γ) and (M, η, γ') is determined.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Metric Structure **2**
2.1 Christoffel Operators 3
2.2 Levi-Civita Connection Field 5

3 Geometric Structure **10**
3.1 Metric Compatible Covariant Derivatives 11

4 Conclusions **16**

1 Introduction

This is the sixth paper in a series of eight. Here, using the geometric calculus on manifolds developed in previous papers [1, 2] we introduce in Section 2 a metric structure on a smooth manifold through the concept of a metric extensor field g. Christoffel operators and the associated Levi-Civita connection field are given. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of a geometrical structure for a manifold M as a triple (M,g,γ), where γ is a connection extensor field defining a parallelism structure for M and study the theory of metric compatible covariant derivatives. There, the relationship between the connection extensor fields and covariant derivatives corresponding to *deformed* (metric compatible) geometrical structures are given. The crucial result is Theorem 2. In section 4 we present our conclusions.

2 Metric Structure

Let U be an open subset[1] of U_o. Any symmetric and non-degenerate smooth $(1,1)$-extensor field on U, namely g, will be said to be a *metric field* on U. This means that $g : U_o \to ext_1^1(\mathcal{U}_o)$ satisfies $g_{(p)} = g_{(p)}^{\dagger}$ and $\det[g] \neq 0$, for each $p \in U$, and for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(U)$ the vector field defined by $U_o \ni p \mapsto g_{(p)}(v(p))$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}(U)$, see [1].

The open set U equipped with such a metric field g, namely (U,g), will be said to be a *metric structure* on U.

The existence of a metric field on U makes possible the introduction of three kinds of *metric products* of smooth multivector fields on U. These are: (a) the *g-scalar product* of $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, namely $X \underset{g}{\cdot} Y \in \mathcal{S}(U)$; (b) the *left* and *right g-contracted products* of $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, namely $X \underset{g}{\lrcorner} Y \in \mathcal{M}(U)$ and $X \underset{g}{\llcorner} Y \in \mathcal{M}(U)$. These products are defined by

---

[1] In this paper we will use the nomenclature and notations just used in [1],[2].

$$(X \underset{g}{\cdot} Y)(p) = \underline{g}_{(p)}(X(p)) \cdot Y(p) \tag{1}$$

$$(X \underset{g}{\lrcorner} Y)(p) = \underline{g}_{(p)}(X(p)) \lrcorner Y(p) \tag{2}$$

$$(X \underset{g}{\llcorner} Y)(p) = X(p) \llcorner \underline{g}_{(p)}(Y(p)), \text{ for each } p \in U. \tag{3}$$

Note that in the above formulas $\underline{g}$ is the *extended* (extensor field) of g [3].

The *g-Clifford product* of $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, namely $X \underset{g}{} Y \in \mathcal{M}(U)$, is defined by the following axioms.

For all $f \in \mathcal{S}(U)$, $b \in \mathcal{V}(U)$ and $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{M}(U)$

$$f \underset{g}{} X = X \underset{g}{} f = fX \text{ (scalar multiplication on } \mathcal{M}(U)). \tag{4}$$

$$b \underset{g}{} X = b \underset{g}{\lrcorner} X + b \wedge X, \tag{5}$$

$$X \underset{g}{} b = X \underset{g}{\llcorner} b + X \wedge b. \tag{6}$$

$$X \underset{g}{} (Y \underset{g}{} Z) = (X \underset{g}{} Y) \underset{g}{} Z. \tag{7}$$

$\mathcal{M}(U)$ equipped with each one of the products $(\underset{g}{\lrcorner})$ or $(\underset{g}{\llcorner})$ is a non-associative algebra induced by the respective b-interior algebra of multivectors. They are called the *g-interior algebras of smooth multivector fields.*

$\mathcal{M}(U)$ equipped with $(\underset{g}{})$ is an associative algebra fundamentally induced by the b-Clifford algebra of multivectors. It is called the *g-Clifford algebra of smooth multivector fields.*

2.1 Christoffel Operators

Given a metric structure (U, g) we can introduce the following two remarkable operators which map 3-uples of smooth vector fields into smooth scalar fields.

(a) The mapping $[\ ,\ ,\ ] : \mathcal{V}(U) \times \mathcal{V}(U) \times \mathcal{V}(U) \to \mathcal{S}(U)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}[a, b, c] = \frac{1}{2}(& a \cdot \partial_o(b \underset{g}{\cdot} c) + b \cdot \partial_o(c \underset{g}{\cdot} a) - c \cdot \partial_o(a \underset{g}{\cdot} b) \\ &+ c \underset{g}{\cdot} [a, b] + b \underset{g}{\cdot} [c, a] - a \underset{g}{\cdot} [b, c])\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which is called the *Christoffel operator of first kind.* Of course, it is associated to (U, g).

(b) The mapping $\left\{ {}_{,} \right\} : \mathcal{V}(U) \times \mathcal{V}(U) \times \mathcal{V}(U) \to \mathcal{S}(U)$ defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} c \\ a, b \end{matrix} \right\} = [a, b, g^{-1}(c)] \tag{9}$$

which is called the *Christoffel operator of second kind.*

We summarize here some of the basic properties of the Christoffel operator of first kind.

i. For all $f \in \mathcal{S}(U)$, and $a, a', b, b', c, c' \in \mathcal{V}(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[a + a', b, c] &= [a, b, c] + [a', b, c], \tag{10}\\
[fa, b, c] &= f[a, b, c]. \tag{11}\\
[a, b + b', c] &= [a, b, c] + [a, b', c], \tag{12}\\
[a, fb, c] &= f[a, b, c] + (a \cdot \partial_o f) b \underset{g}{\cdot} c. \tag{13}\\
[a, b, c + c'] &= [a, b, c] + [a, b, c'], \tag{14}\\
[a, b, fc] &= f[a, b, c]. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

These equations say that the Christoffel operator of the first kind has the linearity property which respect to the first and third smooth vector field variables.

ii. For all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{V}(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[a, b, c] + [b, a, c] &= a \cdot \partial_o (b \underset{g}{\cdot} c) + b \cdot \partial_o (c \underset{g}{\cdot} a) - c \cdot \partial_o (a \underset{g}{\cdot} b)\\
&\quad + b \underset{g}{\cdot} [c, a] - a \underset{g}{\cdot} [b, c], \tag{16}\\
[a, b, c] - [b, a, c] &= c \underset{g}{\cdot} [a, b]. \tag{17}\\
[a, b, c] + [a, c, b] &= a \cdot \partial_o (b \underset{g}{\cdot} c), \tag{18}\\
[a, b, c] - [a, c, b] &= b \cdot \partial_o (c \underset{g}{\cdot} a) - c \cdot \partial_o (a \underset{g}{\cdot} b)\\
&\quad + c \underset{g}{\cdot} [a, b] + b \underset{g}{\cdot} [c, a] - a \underset{g}{\cdot} [b, c]. \tag{19}\\
[a, b, c] + [c, b, a] &= b \cdot \partial_o (c \underset{g}{\cdot} a) + c \underset{g}{\cdot} [a, b] - a \underset{g}{\cdot} [b, c], \tag{20}\\
[a, b, c] - [c, b, a] &= a \cdot \partial_o (b \underset{g}{\cdot} c) - c \cdot \partial_o (a \underset{g}{\cdot} b) + b \underset{g}{\cdot} [c, a]. \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 Levi-Civita Connection Field

Theorem. There exists a smooth $(1,2)$-extensor field on U, namely ω_0, such that the Christoffel operator of first kind can be written as

$$[a,b,c] = (a\cdot\partial_o b + \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}\circ(a\cdot\partial_o g)(b) + \omega_0(a)\underset{g}{\times} b)\underset{g}{\cdot} c. \tag{22}$$

Such ω_0 is given by

$$\omega_0(a) = -\frac{1}{4}\underline{g}^{-1}(\partial_b\wedge\partial_c)a\cdot((b\cdot\partial_o g)(c) - (c\cdot\partial_o g)(b)). \tag{23}$$

Proof

By using $a\cdot\partial_o(X\underset{g}{\cdot}Y) = (a\cdot\partial_o X)\underset{g}{\cdot}Y + X\underset{g}{\cdot}(a\cdot\partial_o Y) + (a\cdot\partial_o\underline{g})(X)\cdot Y$, for all $X, Y\in\mathcal{M}(U)$, we have

$$a\cdot\partial_o(b\underset{g}{\cdot}c) = (a\cdot\partial_o b)\underset{g}{\cdot}c + b\underset{g}{\cdot}(a\cdot\partial_o c) + (a\cdot\partial_o g)(b)\cdot c, \tag{24}$$

and, by cycling the letters a, b and c, we get

$$b\cdot\partial_o(c\underset{g}{\cdot}a) = (b\cdot\partial_o c)\underset{g}{\cdot}a + c\underset{g}{\cdot}(b\cdot\partial_o a) + (b\cdot\partial_o g)(c)\cdot a, \tag{25}$$

$$-c\cdot\partial_o(a\underset{g}{\cdot}b) = -(c\cdot\partial_o a)\underset{g}{\cdot}b - a\underset{g}{\cdot}(c\cdot\partial_o b) - (c\cdot\partial_o g)(a)\cdot b. \tag{26}$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$c\underset{g}{\cdot}[a,b] = c\underset{g}{\cdot}(a\cdot\partial_o b) - c\underset{g}{\cdot}(b\cdot\partial_o a), \tag{27}$$

$$b\underset{g}{\cdot}[c,a] = b\underset{g}{\cdot}(c\cdot\partial_o a) - b\underset{g}{\cdot}(a\cdot\partial_o c), \tag{28}$$

$$-a\underset{g}{\cdot}[b,c] = -a\underset{g}{\cdot}(b\cdot\partial_o c) + a\underset{g}{\cdot}(c\cdot\partial_o b). \tag{29}$$

Now, by adding Eqs.(24), (25), (26) and Eqs.(27), (28), (29) we get

$$2[a,b,c] = 2(a\cdot\partial_o b)\underset{g}{\cdot}c + (a\cdot\partial_o g)(b)\cdot c + (b\cdot\partial_o g)(c)\cdot a - (c\cdot\partial_o g)(a)\cdot b,$$

hence, by taking into account the symmetry property $(n\cdot\partial_o g)^\dagger = n\cdot\partial_o g$, it follows

$$[a,b,c] = (a\cdot\partial_o b)\underset{g}{\cdot}c + \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}\circ(a\cdot\partial_o g)(b)\underset{g}{\cdot}c + \frac{1}{2}a\cdot((b\cdot\partial_o g)(c) - (c\cdot\partial_o g)(b)). \tag{30}$$

On another side, a straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_0(a)\underset{g}{\times}b &= -g(b)\lrcorner\omega_0(a)\\
&= \frac{1}{4}g(b)\lrcorner\underline{g}^{-1}(\partial_p\wedge\partial_q)a\cdot((p\cdot\partial_o g)(q)-(q\cdot\partial_o g)(p))\\
&= \frac{1}{4}(b\cdot\partial_p g^{-1}(\partial_q)-b\cdot\partial_q g^{-1}(\partial_p))a\cdot((p\cdot\partial_o g)(q)-(q\cdot\partial_o g)(p))\\
&= \frac{1}{2}b\cdot\partial_p g^{-1}(\partial_q)a\cdot((p\cdot\partial_o g)(q)-(q\cdot\partial_o g)(p))\\
&= \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}(\partial_q)a\cdot((b\cdot\partial_o g)(q)-(q\cdot\partial_o g)(b)),\end{aligned}$$

hence, it follows

$$(\omega_0(a)\underset{g}{\times}b)\underset{g}{\cdot}c=\frac{1}{2}a\cdot((b\cdot\partial_o g)(c)-(c\cdot\partial_o g)(b)). \tag{31}$$

Finally, putting Eq.(31) into Eq.(30), we get the required result.■

The *smooth vector elementary* 2*-extensor field* on U, namely λ, defined by

$$\lambda(a,b)=\frac{1}{2}g^{-1}\circ(a\cdot\partial_o g)(b)+\omega_0(a)\underset{g}{\times}b \tag{32}$$

is a well-defined connection field on U. It will be called the *Levi-Civita connection field* on U. The open set U endowed with λ, namely (U,λ), will be said to be the *Levi-Civita parallelism structure* on U.

The *a-DCDO's* associated to (U,λ), namely D_a^+ and D_a^-, are said to be *Levi-Civita a-DCDO's.* They are fundamentally defined by $D_a^\pm:\mathcal{M}(U)\to\mathcal{M}(U)$ such that

$$D_a^+X=a\cdot\partial_o X+\Lambda_a(X), \tag{33}$$

$$D_a^-X=a\cdot\partial_o X-\Lambda_a^\dagger(X), \tag{34}$$

where Λ_a is the *generalized*[2] (extensor field) of λ_a.

It should be noted that such a *a-DCDO* D_a^+ satisfies the fundamental property

$$(D_a^+b)\cdot c=\begin{Bmatrix} c\\ a,b\end{Bmatrix},\text{ for all }a,b,c\in\mathcal{V}(U). \tag{35}$$

---

[2] We recall from [3] that the generalized of $t\in ext_1^1(\mathcal{U}_o)$ is $T\in ext(\mathcal{U}_o)$ given by $T(X)=t(\partial_n)\wedge(n\lrcorner X)$.

Eq.(35) follows immediately from Eq.(22) once we change c for $g^{-1}(c)$ and take into account the definitions given by Eq.(9), Eq.(32) and Eq.(33).

We present now two remarkable properties of ω_0.

i. For all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{V}(U)$ we have the *cyclic property*

$$\omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b \underset{g}{\cdot} c + \omega_0(b) \underset{g}{\times} c \underset{g}{\cdot} a + \omega_0(c) \underset{g}{\times} a \underset{g}{\cdot} b = 0. \tag{36}$$

Proof

By recalling Eq.(31) used in the proof of Eq.(22) we can write

$$\omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b \underset{g}{\cdot} c = \frac{1}{2} a \cdot ((b \cdot \partial_o g)(c) - (c \cdot \partial_o g)(b)), \tag{37}$$

and, by cycling the letters a, b and c, we get

$$\omega_0(b) \underset{g}{\times} c \underset{g}{\cdot} a = \frac{1}{2} b \cdot ((c \cdot \partial_o g)(a) - (a \cdot \partial_o g)(c)), \tag{38}$$

$$\omega_0(c) \underset{g}{\times} a \underset{g}{\cdot} b = \frac{1}{2} c \cdot ((a \cdot \partial_o g)(b) - (b \cdot \partial_o g)(a)). \tag{39}$$

Now, by adding Eqs.(37), (38) and (39) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b \underset{g}{\cdot} c + \omega_0(b) \underset{g}{\times} c \underset{g}{\cdot} a + \omega_0(c) \underset{g}{\times} a \underset{g}{\cdot} b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot (b \cdot \partial_o g)(c) - c \cdot (b \cdot \partial_o g)(a)) + \frac{1}{2}(b \cdot (c \cdot \partial_o g)(a) - a \cdot (c \cdot \partial_o g)(b)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(c \cdot (a \cdot \partial_o g)(b) - b \cdot (a \cdot \partial_o g)(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by taking into account the symmetry property $(n \cdot \partial_o g)^\dagger = n \cdot \partial_o g$, the expected result immediately follows.■

ii. ω_0 is just the *g-gauge connection field* associated to (U, λ), i.e.,

$$\omega_0(a) = \frac{1}{2} \underset{g}{biv}[\lambda_a]. \tag{40}$$

Proof

By using the noticeable formulas: $(a \cdot \partial_o \tau) \circ \tau^{-1} + \tau \circ (a \cdot \partial_o \tau^{-1}) = 0$, for all *non-singular* smooth $(1,1)$-extensor field τ, $(a \cdot \partial_o g^{-1})^\dagger = a \cdot \partial_o g^{-1}$,

$\partial_n \wedge (s(n)) = 0$, for all *symmetric* $s \in ext_1^1(\mathcal{U}_o)$, and $\partial_n \wedge (B \times n) = -2B$, where $B \in \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{U}_o$. A straightforward calculation[3] allows us to get

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{g}{biv}[\lambda_a] &= -\partial_n \wedge (\lambda_a \circ g^{-1}(n)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_n \wedge g^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o g) \circ g^{-1}(n) - \partial_n \wedge (\omega(a) \underset{g}{\times} g^{-1}(n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_n \wedge (a \cdot \partial_o g^{-1})(n) - \partial_n \wedge (\omega(a) \times n), \\ &= 0 + 2\omega_0(a). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

We present now three remarkable properties of the Levi-Civita connection field λ.

i. λ is *symmetric* with respect to the interchanging of vector variables, i.e.,

$$\lambda(a,b) = \lambda(b,a). \tag{41}$$

Proof

Let us take $a, b, c \in \mathcal{V}(U)$, we have

$$\lambda(a,b) \underset{g}{\cdot} c = \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot \partial_o g)(b) \cdot c + \omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b \underset{g}{\cdot} c, \tag{42}$$

but, by interchanging the letters a and b, it holds

$$\lambda(b,a) \underset{g}{\cdot} c = \frac{1}{2}(b \cdot \partial_o g)(a) \cdot c + \omega_0(b) \underset{g}{\times} a \underset{g}{\cdot} c. \tag{43}$$

Now, subtracting Eq.(43) from Eq.(42), and taking into account Eq.(39) used in the proof of Eq.(36), we get

$$(\lambda(a,b) - \lambda(b,a)) \underset{g}{\cdot} c = \omega_0(c) \underset{g}{\times} a \underset{g}{\cdot} b + \omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b \underset{g}{\cdot} c - \omega_0(b) \underset{g}{\times} a \underset{g}{\cdot} c.$$

Then, by recalling the multivector identity $B \underset{g}{\times} v \underset{g}{\cdot} w = -B \underset{g}{\times} w \underset{g}{\cdot} v$, where $B \in \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{U}_o$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{U}_o$, and Eq.(36), the required result immediately follows by the non-degeneracy of the g-scalar product.$\blacksquare$

---

[3] Recall that [...] $biv[t] = -\partial_n \wedge (t(n))$ and $\underset{g}{biv}[t] = biv[t \circ g^{-1}]$.

ii. The *g-symmetric* and *g-skew symmetric* parts of λ_a, namely $\lambda_{a\pm(g)} = \dfrac{1}{2}(\lambda_a \pm \lambda_a^{\dagger(g)})$, are given by

$$\lambda_{a+(g)}(b) = \frac{1}{2}g^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o g)(b), \tag{44}$$

$$\lambda_{a-(g)}(b) = \omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b. \tag{45}$$

Proof

We will calculate first the metric adjoint of λ_a, namely $\lambda_a^{\dagger(g)}$, by using the fundamental property [4] of the metric adjoint operator ${}^{\dagger(g)}$. By recalling the symmetry property $(a \cdot \partial_o g)^\dagger = a \cdot \partial_o g$ and the multivector identity $B \underset{g}{\times} v \underset{g}{\cdot} w = -B \underset{g}{\times} w \underset{g}{\cdot} v$, where $B \in \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{U}_o$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{U}_o$, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_a^{\dagger(g)}(b) \underset{g}{\cdot} c &= b \underset{g}{\cdot} \lambda_a(c) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} b \cdot (a \cdot \partial_o g)(c) - \omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b \underset{g}{\cdot} c \\
&= (\frac{1}{2} g^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o g)(b) - \omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b) \underset{g}{\cdot} c,
\end{aligned}$$

hence, by the non-degeneracy of the g-scalar product, it follows that

$$\lambda_a^{\dagger(g)}(b) = \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o g)(b) - \omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b.$$

Now, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_a(b) + \lambda_a^{\dagger(g)}(b) &= g^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o g)(b), \\
\lambda_a(b) - \lambda_a^{\dagger(g)}(b) &= 2\omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} b. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

iii. The *generalized* of λ_a, namely Λ_a, is given by the following formula

$$\Lambda_a(X) = \frac{1}{2}\underline{g}^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o \underline{g})(X) + \omega_0(a) \underset{g}{\times} X, \tag{46}$$

where $\underline{g}$ and $\underline{g}^{-1}$ are *extended* of g and g^{-1}, respectively.

Proof

The above property is an immediate consequence of using the noticeable formulas: $\tau^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o \tau)(\partial_n) \wedge (n \lrcorner X) = \underline{\tau}^{-1} \circ (a \cdot \partial_o \underline{\tau})(X)$, for all *non-singular* smooth $(1,1)$-extensor field τ, and $(B \underset{g}{\times} \partial_n) \wedge (n \lrcorner X) = B \underset{g}{\times} X$, where $B \in \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{U}_o$ and $X \in \bigwedge \mathcal{U}_o$.$\blacksquare$

3 Geometric Structure

A *parallelism structure* (U,γ) (see [2]) is said to be *compatible* with a *metric structure* (U,g) if and only if

$$\gamma_{a+(g)}=\frac{1}{2}g^{-1}\circ(a\cdot\partial_o g), \tag{47}$$

i.e., $g\circ\gamma_a+\gamma_a^{\dagger}\circ g=a\cdot\partial_o g$.

Sometimes for abuse of language we will say that γ is *metric compatible* (or *g-compatible*, for short).

The open set U equipped with a connection field γ and a metric field g, namely (U,γ,g), such that (U,γ) is compatible with (U,g), will be said to be a *geometric structure* on U.

The Levi-Civita parallelism structure (U,λ), according to Eq.(44), is compatible with the metric structure (U,g), i.e., λ is g-compatible. It immediately follows that (U,λ,g) is a well-defined geometric structure on U.

Using the above results we have in any geometric structure (U,γ,g) a fundamental theorem.

Theorem 1. There exists a smooth $(1,2)$-extensor field on U, namely ω, such that

$$\gamma_a(b)=\frac{1}{2}g^{-1}\circ(a\cdot\partial_o g)(b)+\omega(a)\underset{g}{\times}b. \tag{48}$$

We give now three properties involving γ_a and ω.

i. The *g-symmetric* and *g-skew-symmetric parts* of γ_a, namely $\gamma_{a\pm(g)}=\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_a\pm\gamma_a^{\dagger})$, are given by

$$\gamma_{a+(g)}(b)=\frac{1}{2}g^{-1}\circ(a\cdot\partial_o g)(b), \tag{49}$$

$$\gamma_{a-(g)}(b)=\omega(a)\underset{g}{\times}b. \tag{50}$$

ii. The *generalized* of γ_a, namely Γ_a, is given by

$$\Gamma_a(X)=\frac{1}{2}\underline{g}^{-1}\circ(a\cdot\partial_o\underline{g})(X)+\omega(a)\underset{g}{\times}X. \tag{51}$$

iii. ω is just the *g-gauge connection field* associated to (U,γ), i.e.,

$$\omega(a)=\frac{1}{2}\underset{g}{biv}[\gamma_a]. \tag{52}$$

3.1 Metric Compatible Covariant Derivatives

The pair of *a-DCDO's* associated to (U, γ), namely (∇_a^+, ∇_a^-), is said to be *metric compatible* (or *g-compatible*, for short) if and only if

$$\nabla_a^{++} g = 0, \tag{53}$$

or equivalently,

$$\nabla_a^{--} g^{-1} = 0. \tag{54}$$

We emphasize that Eq.(53) and Eq.(54) are completely equivalent to each other. It follows from the remarkable formula $(\nabla_a^{++}\tau) \circ \tau^{-1} + \tau \circ (\nabla_a^{--}\tau^{-1}) = 0$, valid for all non-singular smooth $(1,1)$-extensor field τ.

(U, γ) is compatible with (U, g) if and only if (∇_a^+, ∇_a^-) is metric compatible.

Indeed, let us take $b \in \mathcal{V}(U)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_a^{++} g)(b) &= \nabla_a^- g(b) - g(\nabla_a^+ b) \\
&= a \cdot \partial_o g(b) - \gamma_a^\dagger \circ g(b) - g(a \cdot \partial_o b) - g \circ \gamma_a(b), \\
&= (a \cdot \partial_o g)(b) - g \circ \gamma_a(b) - \gamma_a^\dagger \circ g(b).
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Now, if γ is g-compatible, by using Eq.(47) into Eq.(55), it follows that $(\nabla_a^{++} g)(b) = 0$, i.e., (∇_a^+, ∇_a^-) is g-compatible. And, if (∇_a^+, ∇_a^-) is g-compatible, by using Eq.(53) into Eq.(55), we get that $g \circ \gamma_a(b) + \gamma_a^\dagger \circ g(b) = (a \cdot \partial_o g)(b)$, i.e., γ is g-compatible.

We now present some basic properties which are satisfied by a g-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's,* namely $(\mathcal{D}_a^+, \mathcal{D}_a^-)$.

i. For any $(\mathcal{D}_a^+, \mathcal{D}_a^-)$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}_a^{++} \underline{g} = 0, \tag{56}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_a^{-} \underline{g}^{-1} = 0, \tag{57}$$

where $\underline{g}$ and $\underline{g}^{-1}$are the so-called *extended* of g and g^{-1}, respectively.

Proof

In order to prove the first statement we only need to check that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(U)$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in \mathcal{V}(U)$

$$(\mathcal{D}_a^{++} \underline{g})(f) = 0 \text{ and } (\mathcal{D}_a^{++} \underline{g})(b_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge b_k) = 0.$$

But, by using the fundamental property $\underline{g}(f) = f$, we get

$$(\mathcal{D}_a^{++}\underline{g})(f) = \mathcal{D}_a^{-}\underline{g}(f) - \underline{g}(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}f) = \mathcal{D}_a^{-}f - \underline{g}(a \cdot \partial_o f) = a \cdot \partial_o f - a \cdot \partial_o f = 0.$$

And, by using the fundamental property $\underline{g}(b_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge b_k) = g(b_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge g(b_k)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathcal{D}_a^{++}\underline{g})(b_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge b_k) \\
&= \mathcal{D}_a^{-}\underline{g}(b_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge b_k) - \underline{g}(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}(b_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge b_k)) \\
&= \mathcal{D}_a^{-}g(b_1) \wedge \ldots g(b_k) + \cdots + g(b_1) \wedge \ldots \mathcal{D}_a^{-}g(b_k) \\
&- g(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}b_1) \wedge \ldots g(b_k) - \ldots - g(b_1) \wedge \ldots g(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}b_k) \\
&= (\mathcal{D}_a^{++}g)(b_1) \wedge \ldots g(b_k) + \cdots + g(b_1) \wedge \ldots (\mathcal{D}_a^{++}g)(b_k) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{D}_a^{++}g = 0$.

The second statement can be proved analogously.■

ii. $(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}, \mathcal{D}_a^{-})$ satisfies the fundamental property

$$\mathcal{D}_a^{-}\underline{g}(X) = \underline{g}(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}X). \tag{58}$$

Proof

By Eq.(56) we have that for all $X \in \mathcal{M}(U)$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D}_a^{++}\underline{g})(X) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{D}_a^{-}\underline{g}(X) - \underline{g}(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}X) &= 0.■
\end{aligned}$$

iii. *Ricci-like theorems.* Let X, Y be a smooth multivector fields. Then,

$$a \cdot \partial_o(X \underset{g}{\cdot} Y) = (\mathcal{D}_a^{+}X) \underset{g}{\cdot} Y + X \underset{g}{\cdot} (\mathcal{D}_a^{+}Y), \tag{59}$$

$$a \cdot \partial_o(X \underset{g^{-1}}{\cdot} Y) = (\mathcal{D}_a^{-}X) \underset{g^{-1}}{\cdot} Y + X \underset{g^{-1}}{\cdot} (\mathcal{D}_a^{-}Y). \tag{60}$$

Proof

As we know, $(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}, \mathcal{D}_a^{-})$ must satisfy the fundamental property

$$(\mathcal{D}_a^{+}X) \cdot Y + X \cdot (\mathcal{D}_a^{-}Y) = a \cdot \partial_o(X \cdot Y).$$

Then, by substituting Y for $\underline{g}(Y)$ and using Eq.(58), the first statement follows immediately. To prove get the second statement it is enough to substitute Y for $\underline{g}^{-1}(Y)$ and once again use Eq.(58).■

iii. $(\mathcal{D}_a^+,\mathcal{D}_a^-)$ satisfies *Leibnitz-like rules* for all of the g and g^{-1} suitable products[4], namely $\underset{g}{*}$ and $\underset{g^{-1}}{*}$, of smooth multivector fields

$$\mathcal{D}_a^+(X\underset{g}{*}Y)=(\mathcal{D}_a^+X)\underset{g}{*}Y+X\underset{g}{*}(\mathcal{D}_a^+Y), \tag{61}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_a^-(X\underset{g^{-1}}{*}Y)=(\mathcal{D}_a^-X)\underset{g^{-1}}{*}Y+X\underset{g^{-1}}{*}(\mathcal{D}_a^-Y). \tag{62}$$

Proof

We prove only the first statement. The other proof is analogous.

Firstly, if $\underset{g}{*}$ is just$(\wedge)$, then Eq.(61) is nothing more than the Leibnitz rule for the exterior product of smooth multivector fields i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_a^+(X\wedge Y)=(\mathcal{D}_a^+X)\wedge Y+X\wedge(\mathcal{D}_a^+Y). \tag{63}$$

As we know it is true.

Secondly, if $\underset{g}{*}$ is $(\underset{g}{\cdot})$, then $\mathcal{D}_a^+(X\underset{g}{*}Y)=a\cdot\partial_o(X\underset{g}{\cdot}Y)$ and it follows that Eq.(61) is nothing more than the Ricci-like theorem for $\mathcal{D}_a^+$.

In order to prove Eq.(61) whenever $\underset{g}{*}$ is either $(\underset{g}{\lrcorner})$ or $(\underset{g}{\llcorner})$, we use the identities $(X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y)\underset{g}{\cdot}Z=Y\underset{g}{\cdot}(\widetilde{X}\wedge Z)$ and $(X\underset{g}{\llcorner}Y)\underset{g}{\cdot}Z=X\underset{g}{\cdot}(Z\wedge\widetilde{Y})$, for all $X,Y,Z\in\mathcal{M}(U)$, and Eq.(59) and Eq.(63). For instance, for the left g-contracted product we can indeed write

$$\begin{aligned}
&a\cdot\partial_o((X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y)\underset{g}{\cdot}Z)=a\cdot\partial_o(Y\underset{g}{\cdot}(\widetilde{X}\wedge Z))\\
&\Rightarrow(\mathcal{D}_a^+(X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y))\underset{g}{\cdot}Z+(X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y)\underset{g}{\cdot}(\mathcal{D}_a^+Z)\\
&=(\mathcal{D}_a^+Y)\underset{g}{\cdot}(\widetilde{X}\wedge Z)+Y\underset{g}{\cdot}((\mathcal{D}_a^+\widetilde{X})\wedge Z)+Y\underset{g}{\cdot}(\widetilde{X}\wedge(\mathcal{D}_a^+Z))\\
&\Rightarrow(\mathcal{D}_a^+(X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y))\underset{g}{\cdot}Z=((\mathcal{D}_a^+X)\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y+X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}(\mathcal{D}_a^+Y))\underset{g}{\cdot}Z.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the non-degeneracy of the g-scalar product, the Leibniz rule for $(\underset{g}{\lrcorner})$ immediately follows, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_a^+(X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y)=(\mathcal{D}_a^+X)\underset{g}{\lrcorner}Y+X\underset{g}{\lrcorner}(\mathcal{D}_a^+Y). \tag{64}$$

---

[4] Here, as in [4] $\underset{g}{*}$ means any product, either $(\wedge)$, $(\underset{g}{\cdot})$, $(\underset{g}{\lrcorner},\underset{g}{\llcorner})$ or (*g-Clifford product*). Analogously for $\underset{g^{-1}}{*}$.

In order to prove Eq.(61) whenever $\underset{g}{*}$ means $\underset{g}{(\;)}$, we only need to check that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(U)$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in \mathcal{V}(U)$

$$\mathcal{D}_a^+(f\underset{g}{\,}X) = (\mathcal{D}_a^+ f)\underset{g}{\,}X + f\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ X), \tag{65}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_a^+(b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}X) &= (\mathcal{D}_a^+(b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k))\underset{g}{\,}X \\ &\quad + b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ X). \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

The verification of Eq.(65) is trivial.

To verify Eq.(66) we will use *complete induction* over the k smooth vector fields $b_1, \ldots, b_k$.

Let us take $b \in \mathcal{V}(U)$, by using Eq.(64) and Eq.(63), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_a^+(b\underset{g}{\,}X) &= \mathcal{D}_a^+(b\underset{g}{\lrcorner}X) + \mathcal{D}_a^+(b \wedge X) \\ &= (\mathcal{D}_a^+ b)\underset{g}{\lrcorner}X + b\underset{g}{\lrcorner}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ X) + (\mathcal{D}_a^+ b) \wedge X + b \wedge (\mathcal{D}_a^+ X), \\ \mathcal{D}_a^+(b\underset{g}{\,}X) &= (\mathcal{D}_a^+ b)\underset{g}{\,}X + b\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ X). \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Now, let us $b_1, \ldots, b_k, b_{k+1} \in \mathcal{V}(U)$. By using twice the inductive hypothesis and Eq.(67) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}_a^+(b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1}\underset{g}{\,}X) \\ &= (\mathcal{D}_a^+(b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k))\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1}\underset{g}{\,}X + b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+(b_{k+1}\underset{g}{\,}X)) \\ &= (\mathcal{D}_a^+(b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k))\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1}\underset{g}{\,}X + b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ b_{k+1})\underset{g}{\,}X \\ &+ b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1}\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ X) \\ &= ((\mathcal{D}_a^+(b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k))\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1} + b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ b_{k+1}))\underset{g}{\,}X \\ &+ b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1}\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ X) \\ &= (\mathcal{D}_a^+(b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1}))\underset{g}{\,}X + b_1\underset{g}{\,}\ldots\underset{g}{\,}b_k\underset{g}{\,}b_{k+1}\underset{g}{\,}(\mathcal{D}_a^+ X). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

From the theory of extensors ([3],[4]) it follows that given a metric field g, there is a *non-singular* smooth $(1,1)$-extensor field h such that

$$g = h^\dagger \circ \eta \circ h, \tag{68}$$

where η is an *orthogonal metric field* with the same signature as g. Such h will be said to be a *gauge metric field* for g.

It might as well be asked whether there is any relationship between a g-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's* and a η-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's.* The answer is YES.

Theorem 2. Let h be a gauge metric field for g. For any g-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's,* namely $({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+ \ ,_g \mathcal{D}_a^-)$, there exists an unique η-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's,* namely $({}_\eta\mathcal{D}_a^+,_\eta \mathcal{D}_a^-)$, such that[5]

$$\underline{h}({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+ X) = \ {}_\eta\mathcal{D}_a^+ \underline{h}(X), \tag{69}$$

$$\underline{h}^*({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^- X) = \ {}_\eta\mathcal{D}_a^- \underline{h}^*(X). \tag{70}$$

And reciprocally, given any η-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's*, say $({}_\eta\mathcal{D}_a^+,_\eta \mathcal{D}_a^-)$, there is an unique g-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's,* say $({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+,_g \mathcal{D}_a^-)$, such that the above formulas are satisfied.

Proof

Given $({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+,_g \mathcal{D}_a^-)$, since h is a non-singular smooth $(1,1)$-extensor field, we can indeed construct a well-defined pair of *a-DCDO's,* namely $({}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+,_h \mathcal{D}_a^-)$, by the following formulas

$${}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+ X = \underline{h}({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+ \underline{h}^{-1}(X)) \text{ and } {}_h\mathcal{D}_a^- X = \underline{h}^*({}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+ \underline{h}^\dagger(X)).$$

As defined above, $({}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+,_h \mathcal{D}_a^-)$ is the *h-deformation* of $({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+,_g \mathcal{D}_a^-)$.

But, it is obvious that ${}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+$ and ${}_h\mathcal{D}_a^-$ as defined above satisfy in fact Eq.(69) and Eq.(70), i.e., $\underline{h}({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+ X) = {}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+ \underline{h}(X)$ and $\underline{h}^*({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^- X) = {}_\eta\mathcal{D}_a^- \underline{h}^*(X)$.

In order to check the η-compatibility of $({}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+,_h \mathcal{D}_a^-)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
({}_h\mathcal{D}_a^{++}\eta)(b) &= \ {}_h\mathcal{D}_a^- \eta(b) - \eta({}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+ b) \\
&= h^*({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^- h^\dagger \circ \eta(b)) - \eta \circ h({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+ h^{-1}(b)) \\
&= h^*({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^- h^\dagger \circ \eta \circ h \circ h^{-1}(b) - h^\dagger \circ \eta \circ h({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+ h^{-1}(b))) \\
&= h^*({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^- g(h^{-1}(b)) - g({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^+ h^{-1}(b))), \\
&= h^*({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^{++} g)(h^{-1}(b)).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that ${}_h\mathcal{D}_a^{++}\eta = h^* \circ ({}_g\mathcal{D}_a^{++} g) \circ h^{-1}$. Then, since ${}_g\mathcal{D}_a^{++} g = 0$, it follows that ${}_h\mathcal{D}_a^{++}\eta = 0$, i.e., $({}_h\mathcal{D}_a^+,_h \mathcal{D}_a^-)$ is η-compatible.

---

[5] Recall that $h^* = (h^{-1})^\dagger = (h^\dagger)^{-1}$, and $\underline{h}^{-1} = (\underline{h})^{-1} = \underline{(h^{-1})}$ and $\underline{h}^\dagger = (\underline{h})^\dagger = \underline{(h^\dagger)}$.

Now, if there exists another η-compatible pair $({}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{\prime +}, {}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{\prime -})$, which satisfies Eq.(69) and Eq.(70), i.e.,

$$\underline{h}({}_{g}\mathcal{D}_a^{+}X) = {}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{\prime +}\underline{h}(X) \text{ and } \underline{h}^{*}({}_{g}\mathcal{D}_a^{-}X) = {}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{\prime -}\underline{h}^{*}(X).$$

Then, by substituting X for $\underline{h}^{-1}(X)$ in the first one and X for $\underline{h}^{\dagger}(X)$ in the second one, it follows that ${}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{\prime +} = {}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{+}$ and ${}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{\prime -} = {}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{-}$.

So the existence and uniqueness are proved. Such a η-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's* satisfying Eq.(69) and Eq.(70) is just the h-deformation of the g-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's.*

By following analogous steps we can also prove that such a g-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's* satisfying Eq.(69) and Eq.(70) is just the h^{-1}-deformation of the η-compatible pair of *a-DCDO's.*■

In the eight paper of this series we study the relation between the curvature and torsion tensors of a pair $({}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{+}, {}_{\eta}\mathcal{D}_a^{-})$ and its deformation $({}_{g}\mathcal{D}_a^{+}, {}_{g}\mathcal{D}_a^{-})$.

4 Conclusions

In this paper the geometric calculus on manifolds developed in previous papers [1, 2] is used to introduce through the concept of a metric extensor field g a metric structure on a smooth manifold M. The associated Levi-Civita connection extensor *field* and Christoffel *operators* and are given. The concept of a geometrical structure for a manifold M as a triple (M, g, γ), where γ is a connection extensor field defining a parallelism structure for M and the associated theory of metric compatible covariant derivatives is also introduced. Eventually the most important results of the present paper are: (i): the decomposition of the Levi-Civita operator of the first kind (Eq.(22)) and, (ii): the determination of a relationship between the connection extensor fields and covariant derivatives corresponding to deformed geometrical structures (Theorem 2). Those results combined with the results of the seventh and eight papers on this series are important in the formulation and applications of geometrical theories of the gravitational field, as we will show elsewhere.

Acknowledgments: V. V. Fernández and A. M. Moya are very grateful to Mrs. Rosa I. Fernández who gave to them material and spiritual support at the starting time of their research work. This paper could not have been written without her inestimable help.

References

[1] Moya, A. M., Fernández, V. V., and Rodrigues, W. A., Jr. *Multivector and Extensor Fields on Smooth Manifolds*, submitted for publication.

[2] Moya, A. M., Fernández, V. V., and Rodrigues, W. A., Jr., *Covariant Derivatives of Multivector and Extensor Fields,* submitted for publication.

[3] Moya, A. M., Fernández, V. V., and Rodrigues, W. A., Jr., *Extensors in Geometric Algebra*, submitted to publication.

[4] Moya, A. M., Fernández, V. V., and Rodrigues, W. A., Jr., *Metric and Gauge Extensors*, submitted for publication.